





DATE MAILED: 04/01/2002

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 08/474,388 | 06/07/1995 | TIMOTHY A. SPRINGER | 1011.004000D | 2682 |
| 7 | 7590 04/01/2002 | | | |
| SAMUEL L | | EXAMINER | | |
| STERNE KESSLER GOLDSTEIN & FOX 1100 NEW YORK AVENUE NW STE 600 WASHINGTON, DC 200053934 | | | GAMBEL, PHILLIP | |
| WASHINGIC | JN, DC 200053934 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark flice

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

08/474388 SERIAL NUMBER | FILING DATE ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. FIRST NAMED APPLICANT

| EXAMINER | | | | |
|----------|--------------|--|--|--|
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | | | |
| 1644 | 33 | | | |
| | | | | |

DATE MAILED:

Below is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

ADVISORY ACTION

| | | ADVIOCITI ACT | ••• | | | | |
|--|--|---------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| ☐ TH | E PERIOD FOR RESPONSE: | | • | | | | |
| a) 🗀 | is extended to run | _ or continues to run | from the date of the final rejection | | | | |
| b) 🗆 | expires three months from the date of the final rejection or as of the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection. | | | | | | |
| | Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above. | | | | | | |
| Appellant's Brief is due in accordance with 37 CFR 1.192(a). | | | | | | | |
| Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed 3/21/0 has been considered with the following effect, but it is not deemed to place the application in condition for allowance: | | | | | | | |
| 1. | 1. The proposed amendments to the claim and /or specification will not be entered and the final rejection stands because: | | | | | | |
| | a. There is no convincing showing under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the proposed amendment is necessary and was not earlier presented. | | | | | | |
| | b. They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note). | | | | | | |
| | c. They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note). | | | | | | |
| | d. They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for papeal. | | | | | | |
| | e. They present additional claims v | vithout cancelling a correspond | ing number of finally rejected claims. | | | | |
| | NOTE: | | | | | | |
| 2. | Newly proposed or amended claims the non-allowable claims. | would be al | lowed if submitted in a separately filed amendment cancelling | | | | |
| 3. 🛮 | 3. Upon the filing an appeal, the proposed amendment will be entered will not be entered and the status of the claims will be as follows: | | | | | | |
| | | | PILLUID GNOMBER | | | | |
| | Claims allowed: | | PHILLIP GAMBEL, PH.D | | | | |
| | Claims objected to: Claims rejected: 7/-73, 75-3 | LA 80-81-99 | PRIMARY EXAMINER | | | | |
| | | 10-10-10- | | | | | |
| | However; | | | | | | |
| | Applicant's response has overcom | e the following rejection(s): | | | | | |
| 4. | The affidavit, exhibit or request for real FOM THE ILFA SOULS OF TAMENTAL TRANSPORTED TO THE PROPERTY OF THE P | f Riccons. App | ed but does not overcome the rejection because "I'M NT'S ANGUMENTS AND THE I'M THE SAME OF PRODUCT | | | | |
| 5. 🔲 | | | ot shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier | | | | |
| ☐ The proposed drawing correction ☐ has ☐ has not been approved by the examiner. | | | | | | | |
| Other | | | | | | | |
| Caron | | | | | | | |